Prognostic relevance of DNA damage and repair biomarkers in elderly patients with hormone receptor positive breast cancer treated with neo-adjuvant hormone therapy: evidence from the real world setting



Di Benedetto A¹, Ercolani C¹, Pizzuti L², Angelucci D³, Sergi D², Marinelli C³, Iezzi L⁴, Sperati F⁵, Terrenato I⁵, Mazzotta M⁶, Mariani L^{7,8}, Vizza E⁸, Paoletti G², Tomao S⁹, Maugeri-Saccà M²*, Barba M²*, Tinari N⁴, Natoli C⁴, Ciliberto G¹⁰, Grassadonia A⁴, Vici P².

¹Department of Research, Advanced Diagnostics and Technological Innovation, Pathology Unit, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome; ²Division of Medical Oncology 2, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome; ³Division of Pathology, 'SS. Annunziata' Hospital, Chieti; ⁴Department of Medical, Oral and Biotechnological Sciences, Centro Scienze dell'Invecchiamento e Medicina Traslazionale -CeSI-MeT, Chieti; ⁵Biostatistics-Scientific Direction, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome; ⁶Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Azienda Ospedaliera Sant'Andrea, Rome; ⁷Department of Surgery, Gynecologic Oncology Unit, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome; ⁸HPV-UNIT, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome; ¹⁰Scientific Direction, IRCCS Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome; Institute; Institute, Rome; Institute; Institute; Institute; Ins

Purpose. The logic behind the outcome of endocrine therapy in breast cancer have long remained roughly characterized. The prognostic role of DNA damage and repair biomarkers (DDR) was explored in postmenopausal, hormone receptor positive breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant hormone therapy (NAHT).

Representative examples of two breast cancer cases with pATR, pATM and γ-H2AX nuclear

Characteristics		N (%)
Age at diagnosis	Median (min-max) [IQ range]	75.7 (56-88) [68.3-81.7]
cT	1	6 (10.9)
	2	44 (80.0)
	3	5 (9.1)
Histotype	Invasive ductal carcinoma	54 (98.2)
	Lobular carcinoma	1 (1.8)
Subtype	Luminal A	43 (78.2)
	Luminal B	12 (21.8)
Grade	G1	37 (67.3)
	G2	17 (30.9)
	G3	1 (1.8)
ER/PgR at the biopsy	ER^{+}/PgR^{+}	22 (40.0)
	Other ⁺	33 (60.0)
HER2	Negative	51 (92.7)
	Positive	4 (7.3)
Ki67	<14%	45 (81.8)
	$\geq 14\%$	10 (18.2)
NAHT	Letrozole	22 (40.0)
	Anastrozole	3 (5.5)
	Exemestane	30 (54.5)
Duration of the NAHT	< 6 months	33 (60.0)
	\geq 6 months	22 (40.0)
Clinical Response	CR	4 (7.3)
•	PR	41 (74.5)
	SD	8 (14.5)
	PD	2 (3.6)
Adjuvant CT	No Therapy	42 (76.4)
U	Anthracycline based	1 (1.8)
	Anthracycline-Taxane Free	8 (14.5)
	Anthracycline+Taxane	4 (7.3)
Adjuvant RT	No	25 (45.5)
	Yes	30 (54.5)
Relapse	No	45 (81.8)
	Yes	10 (18.2)
	Local	1 (10.0)
	Distant	9 (90 0)

Methods. Data on 55 patients were included. The phoshorylated ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related protein (pATR), phosphorylated Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutation (pATM) kinase, and the variant of histone H2AX phosphorylated in Ser139, histone (γ -H2AX) were evaluated by immunohistochemistry in paired tissues collected at baseline and following NAHT. Biomarkers were considered both singularly and within signatures. Ki-i-67 percent changes were the

	cases with park, parm and y-HZAA nuclear	
	immunohistochemical expression.	ER/P
		HER
ATM		Ki67
		NAH
		Dura
ATR	and the second states and the second	Dura
	A state of the sta	Clini
		Adju
H2AX	X	
		Adju
		Deles
		Keiaj
ATM		
		cT:
		RT: R
		an 1997 -

	N. S. Harrison
Co Jaka	Sal Star
Section of the sectio	The set of the set

ATR

cT: Clinically-instrumentally defined primitive tumour size; ER/PgR: Estrogen Receptor/Progesterone Receptor; NAHT: Neoadjuvant therapy: CT: Chemiotherapy; RT: Radiotherapy.

Uni- and multi-variate regression models of factors associated with a ki-67 reduction greater than 5 PT%

			U			
		Univariat	e	Multivaria	te	
		logistic regression model		logistic regression model		
		OR (95%CI)	p-value	OR (95%CI)	p-value	
Subtype	*Lum. B vs Lum. A	9.33 (1.81-48.24)	0.008	22.87 (3.19-163.74)	0.002	
Grade	III-II vs I	3.69 (1.12-12.14)	0.031	6.68 (1.34-33.14)	0.020	
γ-H2AX/ p-ATM	γ-H2AX ⁺ / p-ATM ⁺ vs other	9.33 (1.81-48.24)	0.008	17.02 (2.42-119.82)	0.004	

primary biomarker endpoint. Classical endpoints were also considered.

Results. The most favorable ki-67 outcome was associated with the γ -H2AX/p-ATM signature (p=0.011). In models of ki-67 reduction, "luminal B" subtype, higher grade of anaplasia, and the γ -H2AX/p-ATM signature tested significant (p<0.05 for all). Results were in multivariate analysis. No confirmed association was observed with pathologic response. An increase of $\Delta \gamma$ -H2AX in paired breast tissues was associated with longer event free survival (p=0.024) and overall survival (p=0.042). In Cox models, both survival outcomes were solely affected by grade of anaplasia, with less favorable prognosis in the highest grades (p<0.05 for both).

Overall survival by $\Delta \gamma$ -*H2AX* (N:55).





*Lum.: Luminal

Association between the biomarkers tested and Ki-67 changes (N:55).

		Ki67 change		Ki67 change			Chi2 Test	100
		No change + reduction <5PT%	Reduction >5PT%	Increased	p-value	90- 80-		
		N(%)	N(%)	N(%)		70		
γ-H2AX	Negative	20 (58.8)	12 (35.3)	2 (5.9)	0.092	³⁰		
	Positive	6 (28.6)	13 (61.9)	2 (9.5)		ee Su		
p-ATM	Negative	13 (50.0)	10 (38.5)	3 (11.5)	0.398	L SO-		
	Positive	13 (44.8)	15 (51.7)	1 (3.4)		● 40- Ⅲ		
p-ATR	Negative	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0.746	3 0-		
	Positive	24 (46.2)	24 (46.2)	4 (7.7)		20-		
γ-H2AX/p-ATM	other	24 (55.8)	15 (34.9)	4 (9.3)	0.011	¹⁰⁻ P=0.024		
	γ -H2AX+/p-ATM ⁺	2 (16.7)	10 (83.3)	0 (0.0)		0 12		
γ-H2AX/p-ATR	other	20 (58.8)	12 (35.3)	2 (5.9)	0.092	The Kanlan_		
	γ -H2AX ⁺ /p-ATR ⁺	6 (28.6)	13 (61.9)	2 (9.5)		of $\Delta \gamma$ -H2AX		



Event Free Survival analysis (N=55).

The Kaplan–Meier curves showed that an increase of $\Delta \gamma$ -H2AX (solid line) in paired breast tissues was associated with a longer overall survival.

Conclusions. We first report evidence of the prognostic role of DDR biomarkers on patient important outcomes in post-menopausal hormone receptor positive breast cancer patients treated with NAHT. If confirmed in future, adequately sized trials, our results may help inform therapeutic decisions and clarify underlying biological mechanisms.

Selected references (6/34)

Jeggo PA, Pearl LH, Carr AM. DNA repair, genome stability and cancer: a historical perspective. Nat Rev Cancer 2016; 16: 35–42.

Di Benedetto A, Ercolani C, Mottolese M, et al. Analysis of the ATR-Chk1 and ATM-Chk2 pathways in male breast cancer revealed the prognostic significance of ATR expression. Sci Rep 2017; 7: 8078.

Ronchetti L, Melucci E, De Nicola F, et al. DNA damage repair and survival outcomes in advanced gastric cancer patients treated with first-line chemotherapy. Int J Cancer 2017; 140: 2587-2595.

Vici P, Di Benedetto A, Ercolani C, et al. Predictive significance of DNA damage and repair biomarkers in triple-negative breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy: An exploratory analysis. Oncotarget 2015; 6: 42773-42780.

Vici P, Buglioni S, Sergi D, et al. DNA Damage and Repair Biomarkers in Cervical Cancer Patients Treated with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy: An Exploratory Analysis. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0149872.

Barba M, Vici P, Pizzuti L, et al. Body mass index modifies the relationship between γ -H2AX, a DNA damage biomarker, and pathological complete response in triple-negative breast cancer. BMC Cancer 2017; 17:101.

Manuscript status: under review in Therapeutic Advances in Medical Oncology (I.F. 6.238)





